**GUIDELINE** 

BILL NO. OVERLAYS. 761 Amdt.

Star Print8

AMENDMENT NO.

CAL. NO.

**COMMITTEE AMENDMENT** 

[Staff Working Draft]

June 22, 1999

Purpose: To conform the definitions to those used in the Uniform Electronic Transactions Act, and for other purposes.

IN THE COMMITTEE ON COMMERCE, SCIENCE, AND TRANSPORTATION\_ 106 th Cong., 1 st Sess.

S. 761, 106 th Congress, 1 st Session

DATELINE June 23, 1999

Intended to be proposed by Mr. Abraham

Viz: Strike out all after the enacting clause and insert the following:

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the ``Third Millennium Electronic Commerce Act".

SEC. 2. FINDINGS.

The Congress makes the following findings:

(1) The growth of electronic commerce and electronic government transactions represent a powerful force for economic growth, consumer choice, improved civic participation and wealth creation.

- (2) The promotion of growth in private sector electronic commerce through federal legislation is in the national interest because that market is globally important to the United States.
- (3) A consistent legal foundation, across multiple jurisdictions, for electronic commerce will promote the growth of such transactions, and that such a foundation should be based upon a simple, technology neutral, non-regulatory, and market-based approach.
- (4) The nation and the world stand at the beginning of a large scale transition to an information society which will require innovative legal and policy approaches, and therefore, States can serve the national interest by continuing their proven role as laboratories of innovation for quickly evolving areas of public policy, provided that States also adopt a consistent, reasonable national baseline to eliminate obsolete barriers to electronic commerce such as undue paper and pen requirements, and further, that any such innovation should not unduly burden inter-jurisdictional commerce.
- (5) To the extent State laws or regulations do not provide a consistent, reasonable national baseline or in fact create an undue burden to interstate commerce in the important burgeoning area of electronic commerce, the national interest is best served by Federal preemption to the extent necessary to provide such consistent, reasonable national baseline eliminate said burden, but that absent such lack of consistent, reasonable national baseline or such undue burdens, the best legal system for electronic commerce will result from continuing experimentation by individual jurisdictions.
- (6) With due regard to the fundamental need for a consistent national baseline, each jurisdiction that enacts such laws should have the right to determine the need for any exceptions to protect consumers and maintain consistency with existing related bodies of law within a particular jurisdiction.
- (7) Industry has developed several electronic signature technologies for use in electronic transactions, and the public policies of the United States should serve to promote a dynamic marketplace within which these technologies can compete. Consistent with this Act, States should permit the use and development of any authentication technologies that are appropriate as practicable as between private parties and in use with State agencies.

### SEC. 3. PURPOSES.

The purposes of this Act are\_

(1) to permit and encourage the continued expansion of electronic commerce through the operation of free market forces rather than proscriptive governmental mandates and regulations;

- (2) to promote public confidence in the validity, integrity and reliability of electronic commerce and online government under Federal law;
- (3) to facilitate and promote electronic commerce by clarifying the legal status of electronic records and electronic signatures in the context of writing and signing requirements imposed by law;
- (4) to facilitate the ability of private parties engaged in interstate transactions to agree among themselves on the terms and conditions on which they use and accept electronic signatures and electronic records; and
- (5) to promote the development of a consistent national legal infrastructure necessary to support of electronic commerce at the Federal and state levels within existing areas of jurisdiction.

#### SEC. 4. DEFINITIONS.

In this Act:

- (1) Electronic.\_ The term ``electronic" means relating to technology having electrical, digital, magnetic, wireless, optical, electromagnetic, or similar capabilities.
- (2) Electronic agent.\_ The term ``electronic agent" means a computer program or an electronic or other automated means used to initiate an action or respond to electronic records or performances in whole or in part without review by an individual at the time of the action or response.
- (3) Electronic record.\_ The term ``electronic record" means a record created, generated, sent, communicated, received, or stored by electronic means.
- (4) Electronic signature.\_ The term ``electronic signature" means an electronic sound, symbol, or process attached

to or logically associated with an electronic record and executed or adopted by a person with the intent to sign the electronic record.

- (5) Governmental agency. The term ``governmental agency" means an executive, legislative, or judicial agency, department, board, commission, authority, institution, or instrumentality of the Federal government or of a State or of any county, municipality, or other political subdivision of a State.
- (6) Record.\_ The term ``record" means information that is inscribed on a tangible

medium or that is stored in an electronic or other medium and is retrievable in perceivable form.

- (7) Transaction.\_ The term ``transaction" means an action or set of actions relating to the conduct of commerce between 2 or more persons, neither of which is the United States Government, a State, or an agency, department, board, commission, authority, institution, or instrumentality of the United States Government or of a State.
- (8) Uniform Electronic Transactions Act.\_ The term ``Uniform Electronic Transactions Act" means the Uniform Electronic Transactions Act as reported to State legislatures by the National Conference of

Commissioners on Uniform State Law in the form or any variation thereof that is authorized or provided for in such report.

## SEC. 5. PRINCIPLES GOVERNING THE USE OF ELECTRONIC SIGNATURES IN INTERNATIONAL TRANSACTIONS.

To the extent practicable, the Federal Government shall observe the following principles in an international context to enable commercial electronic transaction:

- (1) Remove paper-based obstacles to electronic transactions by adopting relevant principles from the Model Law on Electronic Commerce adopted in 1996 by the United Nations Commission on International Trade Law (UNCITRAL).
- (2) Permit parties to a transaction to determine the appropriate authentication technologies and implementation models for their transactions, with assurance that those technologies and implementation models will be recognized and enforced.
- (3) Permit parties to a transaction to have the opportunity to prove in court or other proceedings that their authentication approaches and their transactions are valid.
- (4) Take a non-discriminatory approach to electronic signatures and authentication methods from other jurisdictions.

### SEC. 6. INTERSTATE CONTRACT CERTAINTY.

- (a) In General.\_ The following rules apply to any commercial transaction affecting interstate commerce:
- (1) A record or signature may not be denied legal effect or enforceability solely because it is in electronic form.
- (2) A contract may not be denied legal effect or enforceability solely because an electronic record was used in its formation.

- (3) If a law requires a record to be in writing, or provides consequences if it is not, an electronic record satisfies the law.
- (4) If a law requires a signature, or provides consequences in the absence of a signature, the law is satisfied with respect to an electronic record if the electronic record includes an electronic signature.
- (b) Methods.\_ The parties to a contract may agree on the terms and conditions on which they will use and accept electronic signatures and electronic records, including the methods therefor, in commercial transactions affecting interstate commerce. Nothing in this subsection requires that any party enter into such a contract.
- (c) Intent.\_ The following rules apply to any commercial transaction affecting interstate commerce:
- (1) An electronic record or electronic signature is attributable to a person if it was the act of the person. The act of the person may be established in any manner, including a showing of the efficacy of any security procedures applied to determine the person to which the electronic record or electronic signature was attributable.
- (2) The effect of an electronic record or electronic signature attributed to a person under paragraph (1) is determined from the context and surrounding circumstances at the time of its creation, execution, or adoption, including the parties' agreement, if any, and otherwise as provided by law.
- (d) Formation of Contract.\_ A contract relating to a commercial transaction affecting interstate commerce may not be denied legal effect solely because its formation involved
- (1) the interaction of electronic agents of the parties; or
- (2) the interaction of an electronic agent of a party and an individual who acts on that individual's own behalf or for another person.
- (e) Application in UETA States.\_ This section does not apply in any State in which the Uniform Electronic Transactions Act is in effect.

# SEC. 7. STUDY OF LEGAL AND REGULATORY BARRIERS TO ELECTRONIC COMMERCE.

(a) Barriers.\_ Each Federal agency shall, not later than 6 months after the date of enactment of this Act, provide a report to the Director of the Office of Management and Budget and the Secretary of Commerce identifying any provision of law administered by such agency, or any regulations issued by such agency and in effect on the date of

enactment of this Act, that may impose a barrier to electronic transactions, or otherwise to the conduct of commerce online or be electronic means. Such barriers include, but are not limited to, barriers imposed by a law or regulation directly or indirectly requiring that signatures, or records of transactions, be accomplished or retained in other than electronic form. In its report, each agency shall identify the barriers among those identified whose removal would require legislative action, and shall indicate agency plans to undertake regulatory action to remove such barriers among those identified as are caused by regulations issued by the agency.

- (b) Report to Congress.\_ The Secretary of Commerce, in consultation with the Director of the Office of Management and Budget, shall, within 18 months after the date of enactment of this Act, and after the consultation required by subsection (c) of this section, report to the Congress concerning\_
- (1) legislation needed to remove barriers to electronic transactions or otherwise to the conduct of commerce online or by electronic means; and
- (2) actions being taken by the Executive Branch and individual Federal agencies to remove such barriers as are caused by agency regulations or policies.
- (c) Consultation.\_ In preparing the report required by this section, the Secretary of Commerce shall consult with the General Services Administration, the National Archives and Records Administration, and the Attorney General concerning matters involving the authenticity of records, their storage and retention, and their usability for law enforcement purposes.
- (d) Include Findings If No Recommendations.\_ If the report required by this section omits recommendations for actions needed to fully remove identified barriers to electronic transactions or to online or electronic commerce, it shall include a finding or findings, including substantial reasons therefor, that such removal is impracticable or would be inconsistent with the implementation or enforcement of applicable laws